

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,731 09/21/2005		Nicolas Tourteaux	052474	2291		
29980	7590 11/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NICOLAS I	E. SECKEL	ARGENBRIGHT,	ARGENBRIGHT, TONY MICHAEL			
Patent Attorney 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20036	3747				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						M			
		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/531,731		TOURTEAUX ET AL.				
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
			. M. Argenbright		3747				
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commu I	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet	t with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of till after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this comi reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for reply red by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cat	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) N use the application to become	NICATION y a reply be tim MONTHS from to e ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 Sept	tember 2006.						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since t	·—								
Disposition of C	Claims								
4a) Of t 5)	s) <u>1,2,4-17 and 20-22</u> is/are whe above claim(s) is/as j is/are allowed. s) <u>1,2,4-17 and 20-22</u> is/are is/are objected to. s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn	from consideration.						
Application Pap	ers								
10)⊠ The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the lawing(s) filed on 18 September of may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected the	er 2006 is/are ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be held in abe is required if the draw	yance. See ing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	rledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office action	documents he documents he of the priority onal Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Applicatio	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)									
 Notice of Draft Information Dis 	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (I sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	PTO-948)	Paper I						

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-17 and 20-22 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4-16 and 19 of copending Application No. 10/531,730 in view of Heater et al (4,091,772). The copending claims contain the recited features of the claims herein except for the use of supercharging and the mixture richness of claim 15. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the engine of the copending claims to include supercharging, as taught by Heater et al, to increase engine output and use a mixture richness of one or greater to obtain a desired engine output and emission level for the operating load of the engine.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/531,731 Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. M. Argenbright whose telephone number is 571-272-4837. The examiner can normally be reached M-Th 6:30am-3:00pm and alt. Fridays 6:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7h Andromer T. M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747